### राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

### National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 2346 1600, www.nhidcl.com





सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र का उपक्रम

A PUBLIC SECTOR UNDERTAKING

Dated: 18.09.2018

### NHIDCL/Silkyara Tunnel/AE/2018

Subject: Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for supervision of Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi-Directional Silkyara Bend - Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand" on Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) Mode. - Technical Results -Reg.-

Sir,

Please refer to your bid dated 05.09.2018 submitted towards subject cited project. The following is the list of technically responsive Bidders.

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Technical Score	Status
1	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	94.78	Responsive
2	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Private Limited	76.65	The Score of five key personnel is less than 75% marks. Hence, Bidder has been considered as Non-Responsive as per Clause 3.4 (iv) (f) of section 2 of RFP "In case more than 3 CV scores less than 75% marks or Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer scores less than 75% marks, the proposal shall be considered Non-responsive".
3	M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag	-	The Lead partner has not qualified the minimum experience in DPR/FS of 50% of 2 times of length (i.e. 9.718 km). Therefore Non -responsive.

4	M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd	The bidder has n qualified the minimu experience in Construction Supervision/Independent's Engineer/Authority's Engineer of 3 times length (i.e. 14.577 km) per Clause 11 of section of RFP. Therefore, No Responsive.	on 's of as
---	--	--	----------------------

- 2. The copy of minutes of meeting of Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) dated 17.09.2018 is also enclosed.
- 3. In case of any representation, the bidders may represent by 25.09.2018(1530 hrs).

Yours Faithfully,

V.K.Singh Executive Director (T)

### National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd

Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) for evaluating Technical Bids for "Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for supervision of Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi-Directional Silkyara Bend -Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.400 km and Ch. 51.000 km falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand" on Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) Mode held at NHIDCL on 17.09.2018, New Delhi.

The bids for subject work were invited having Bid Due Date 05.09.2018. In all, Four bids were received from the following bidders.

S. No.	Name of the Firm					
1	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd.					
2	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Private Limited					
3	M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag					
4	M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd					

- 2. As the Infracon portal has not been updated as per the Tunnel work. Hence, the proposal cannot be evaluated through Infracon Portal. Accordingly Empowered Technical Bid Opening Committee handed over the hard/soft copies of the technical bids and the uploaded CV of firms & key personnels of the participated four bidders to the engaged Financial Consultant M/s Almondz Global Securities Limited for carrying out the evaluation.
- 3. The financial consultant submitted the technical evaluation report vide letter dated 17.09.2018 as enclosed at **Annexure-1**.
- 4. The Financial Consultant also examined the certificates of all the firms uploaded on INFRACON to assert its appropriateness vis-à-vis RFP Criteria. Those projects with incorrect/incomplete experience certificate were rejected and accordingly the first stage evaluation of firms. The first stage evaluation results are as under:

Jalle Bolis and Lil Des

Sr.No.	Name of Bidder	Obtained marks through Manually by Financial Consultant (Max. points 25)	First Stage of Eligibility Status through Financial Consultant/Remarks
1	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	25.00	Responsive
2	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Private Limited	25.00	Responsive
3	M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag	-	The Lead partner has not qualified the minimum experience in DPR/FS of 50% of 2 times of length (i.e. 9.718 km). Therefore Non responsive.
4	M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd	-	The bidder has not qualified the minimum experience in Construction Supervision/Independent's Engineer/Authority's Engineer of 3 times of length (i.e. 14.577 km) as per Clause 11 of section 1 of RFP. Therefore, Non-Responsive.

- 5. As per evaluation by Financial Consultant, bidder at Sr. 3 & 4 above are not eligible in the first stage of evaluation as the firms do not meet the minimum eligibility requirement as per Clause No. 11 of section 1 for further evaluation.
- 6. Further, Financial Consultant carried out manual evaluation for second stages of two firms, result of which is tabulated below:

Sr. Name of Bidder No.		Evaluation (Technical Sc	by Financial ore)	Consultant Reasons/	Reasons/Remarks
		Firm's marks	Key personnel	Total marks	
1	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	25.00	69.78	94.78	Responsive

Jarro

3-10

an

4

Will

2	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Private Limited	25.00	51.65	76.65	The Score of five key personnel is less than 75% marks. Hence, Bidder has been considered as Non- Responsive as per Clause 3.4 (iv) (f) of section 2 of RFP "In case more than 3 CV scores less than 75% marks or Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer scores less than 75% marks, the proposal shall be considered Nonresponsive".
---	---	-------	-------	-------	--

- 7. The details of technical marks of the key personnel's as per evaluation of Financial Consultant are given at Page no. 35 to 45 of report of Financial Consultant.
- 8. In view of above, the Committee recommends for uploading the Minutes of Meeting of ETEC and all the bidders by giving 7 days time and to communicate the Technically responsive bidder their Technical score for Clarification/Representation if any by uploading on website.

The Meeting ended with Vote of Thanks to and by the chair.

V.K.Singh

ED-IV Convener Y.C.Srivastava

GM-Tech Member Secretary Adil Singh

GM-Tech Member Uttam Chatterjee

DGM-Fin. Member Kavita Vivek

Manager (Tech) Member



### Almondz Global Securities Ltd.

Ref. No.:-ST/2017-18/AE/001

September 17, 2018

General Manager (Tech),
National Highway & Infrastructure
Development Corporation Ltd.
PTI Building, 3rd Floor,
4, Parliament Street,
New Delhi-110001

Sub: "Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi- Directional Silkyara Bend -Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand" on Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) Mode - Preliminary evaluation report.

Dear Sir,

We hereby submittour Preliminary report on the evaluation of applications received for the captioned project for your perusal & discussion.

For any further information / clarification, please advise us accordingly.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully

Almondz Clobal Securities Ltd.

Authorised Signa Encl.: As above

### PRELIMINARY EVALUATION REPORT

on

### Request for Proposal

For

"Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi- Directional Silkyara Bend - Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand"

September, 2018

ALMONDZ INFRASTRUCTURE CONSULTANTS
(A Division of Almondz Global Securities Limited)
3, Scindia House, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Janpath, New Delhi - 110 001.



### **Table of Contents**

S. No.	Description of Document	Page No.
I	Evaluation Report	7.1
1.	Disclaimer	. 4
2.	Background	5-6
3.	Annexure-A: Criteria for Evaluation	7-15
4.	Annexure-B: Broad Principles adopted for Final RFP Evaluation	16-18
5.	Annexure-C: Summary of Final RFP Evaluation	19-27
6.	Annexure-D: Annexures of Evaluation Report	28-46



### DISCLAIMER

We, namely, Almondz Infrastructure Consultants (A division of Almondz Global Securities Limited) (AGSL) have carried out evaluation of the Applications received for the project, "Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi- Directional Silkyara Bend - Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand" (hereinafter referred to as the "Report"). We are hereby submitting our Preliminary RFP Evaluation Report for discussion purpose, based on the information made available to AGSL and this report is exclusively meant for NHIDCL only.

AGSL has relied solely on information and data as shared by NHIDCL and has not independently verified such information nor the information of any kind provided by the Bidders in their respective Bids as submitted. AGSL undertakes no responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of such information and will not be held liable for it under any circumstances. AGSL's total liability shall be limited to the amount of fee paid to us for a particular stage of work. This report has been well discussed with NHIDCL officials beforehand and has been finalized thereafter. Evaluation of criterion related to quality of methodology & work plan proposed and experience in use of technology for road inspection have been done by the NHIDCL officials themselves and as evaluated by them, the same has been incorporated in this report. This Report should not be disclosed to any person other than NHIDCL without the prior written consent of AGSL. The delivery of this Report at any time does not imply that the information in it is correct as of any time after the date set out on the cover page hereof. AGSL has no obligation to update any information contained in this Report. NHIDCL agrees to indemnify and hold AGSL harmless from time to time and at all times hereafter, from and against (i) all loss, damage, harm or injury suffered or incurred by AGSL and (ii) all notices, claims, demands, action, suits or proceedings given, made or initiated against AGSL on account of or arising out of (a) the performance by AGSL, or any of AGSL's obligations hereunder, or (b) any default committed by NHIDCL in the performance of all or any of AGSL obligations hereunder, as also against all costs, charges and expenses suffered or incurred by AGSL on account of the aforesaid. This Indemnity shall not, however, be applicable to the extent that any such notices, claims, demands, action, suits or proceedings are found by a competent Court in its final judgment to have resulted primarily from AGSL willful default in performing the services described above.



### Background

### 1.1 Background

The National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd (NHIDCL) (the 'Employer') invites proposals from eligible consultant for engaging Authority's Engineer (AE) on the basis of International Competitive Bidding for the following contract package in the State of Uttarakhand.

Sr. No.	Consultancy Pkg.	NH No.	State	Project Length (Km)/ Project Cost (Cr.)	Assignment Period (Months)
1	Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2- lane Bi- Directional Silkyara Bend - Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km falling along NH- 134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand" on Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) Mode.	134	Uttarakhand	4.859 Km /853.79 Crore	24 Months (Construction Period)+48 Months (Maintenance Period)

- 1.1.1 Selection of Authority Engineer (AE) shall be as per selection procedures given in the Model Agreement for Engineering Procurement and Construction. The selected AE shall be intimated to the Contractor.
- 1.1.2 National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd (Name of Employer) intends to appoint a Consultant to act as Authority's Engineer for implementation of this EPC project. As per the Terms and Conditions of the EPC Agreement (s), the Authority's Engineer shall perform all the duties as per TOR given in this RFP alongwith any



- amendment thereof. The selection of Authority's Engineer shall follow the laid down procedures given in the Contract Agreement signed between Employer and Contractor.
- 1.1.3 The RFP shall be received through "INFRACON" (www.infracon.nic.in) and will be evaluated based on details furnished on "INFRACON". As such before submitting the proposal, the Consultant (the firm and all key personnel) shall mandatorily register and enlist themselves, on the MoRTH portal "INFRACON" and upload all relevant information to enable correct evaluation of RFP. All the bidders registered on "INFRACON" shall form a Team on "INFRACON" which would be assigned unique INFRACON Team ID. Bidders while submitting the RFP proposal shall furnish registration details including INFRACON Team ID. A copy of INFRACON Operation Procedure is enclosed for bidder's reference.
- 1.1.4 Almondz Global Securities Ltd., has been appointed as the financial consultant by the NHIDCL has carried out its evaluation from the CV's Uploaded on INFRACON Portal as well as Hard Bound proposal submitted by the Bidders, The Evaluation Committee appointed by the Employer shall carry out its evaluation applying the evaluation criteria and point system specified in the data sheet. Each responsive proposal shall be attributed a technical score (ST.) Only those Bidders whose Technical proposal score 75 marks or more out of 100 shall qualify for further consideration. However, if the number of such pre-qualified applications is less than two, the Employer may, in its sole discretion, pre-qualify the bidder(s) whose technical score is less than 75 marks.

### **Evaluation of Applications**

Total 04(Four) Applications for the Project were received by NHIDCL. The same has been handed over to us for evaluation. All the Applications were evaluated by us to determine whether each Application is responsive as per the requirements of RFP and meet the Technical & Financial capacity as per the provisions of RFP.

This report presents our findings regarding the Responsiveness, Technical & Financial assessment of the following 4 (Four) Bidders, with respect to the provisions of the RFP.

S. No.	Name of Bidders	
1.	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.	
2.	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.	
3.	M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag	
4.	M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.	



Almondz Infrastructure Consultants National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd (NHIDCL) AE – Silkyara Bend –Barkot Final RFP Evaluation Report – Sept. 2018

### ANNEXURE - A

Criteria for Evaluation



### Criteria for Evaluation

(Relevant paragraphs reproduced from the RFP)

### 2. PREPARATION & SUBMISSION OF APPLICATIONS: (As Per Clause 4.1of RFP)

- i. Detailed RFP may be downloaded from E-tendering portal of NIC i.e. https://eprocure.gov.in and http://www.infracon.nic.in and https://www.nhidcl.com and the Application may be submitted online following the instructions appearing on the screen.
- ii. The following shall be the form of various documents in the Application:
- A. Only Electronic Form (to be uploaded on the E-tendering portal of Employer)
  - a) Technical proposal as indicated in para 'B' below
  - b) Financial proposal as per format prescribed in SECTION-5 OF RFP.
- B. Hard copy in Original (identical to proposals to be submitted in Sealed Envelope and also electronic form to be uploaded on the E-tendering portal of Employer
  - (i) Technical Proposal in Hard Bound Form including
    - (a) Power of Attorney for signing the Application
    - (b) If applicable, the Power of Attorney for Lead Member of JV;
    - (c) Copy of Memorandum of Understanding between JV partners, if applicable;
    - (d) Copy of Memorandum of Understanding with Associate, if applicable
    - (e) Firms credentials as per format prescribed in SECTION-3 OF RFP.
    - (f) Technical proposal as per format prescribed in SECTION-4 OF RFP.
  - (ii) Bid Security Rs. 2,00,000/- (Rupees Two Lakh Only) in the form of a Bank Guarantee in favour of National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd



### 2 Eligibility criteria (As Per Clause 11(A) of RFP)

### 2.1 Eligibility criteria

### A. Eligibility criteria for sole bidder firm

S.	Experience of the f	firm in last 7 years	Annual
No.	Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent)	Project Supervision/IC (NH/SH/Equivalent)	Turnover***
1 (a).	The firm should have minimum experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/Design Feasibility Study/ of Tunnel** project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited.	The firm should have minimum experience of Project Supervision/Independent Engineer/Authority's Engineer of Tunnel project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited	Annual turnover (updated average of last 3 years or in each of the preceding two years) of the firm from consultancy business should be equal to or more than 2% of Total Project Cost (TPC).
1 (b)	Firm should also have prepared DPR/Design/Feasibility Study/ for at least one project of similar category of Tunnel** work of 40% of project length	Firm should also have experience of Project Supervision/Independent Engineer/Authority' Engineer of at least one project of similar category of Tunnel work of 40% of project length.	-

<sup>\*\*</sup>Tunnel Works for Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel will be considered as applicable for the project for which the RFP is invited. For standalone Tunnel projects, experience in Tunnel work (either standalone project or as a part of road/rail project) only be considered.

B) Eligibility Criteria for partner in case of JV (not more than 1 JV partner shall be allowed):-

The lead partner must fulfill atleast 50% of requirements at 1(a) of table in para (A) above and other JV partner should fulfill atleast 30% of eligibility criteria as indicated at 1(a) of table in para (A) above. Also the lead partner and JV partner jointly should meet the eligibility criteria as mentioned at 1(a) of table in para (A) above. Lead partner should meet the criteria 1 (b) of table in para (A) above.

Note: The weightage given for experience of a firm would depend on the role of the firm in the respective assignments. The firm's experience would get full credit if it was the sole firm in the



Almondz Infrastructure Consultants National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd (NHIDCL)

respective assignment. If the bidder firm has completed projects as JV with some other firms, weightage shall be given as per the JV share\*\*\*. However if the bidder firm has executed the project as associate with some other firms, 25% weightage shall be given to the bidder firm for the projects completed under such association.

\*\*\* For weightage of experience in any past Consultancy assignment, experience certificate from the client shall be submitted. In absence of clear demarcation of JV share in client certificate, the weightage will be treated as 60 % for lead partner and 40% for minor partner.

### 2.2 Annual turnover duly certified by Chartered Accountant shall be accepted. In case of non-availability of such documents no weightage of turnover/experience will be considered.

Following enhancement factor will be used for the cost of services provided and for the turnover from consultancy business to a common base value for works completed in India:

Year of completion of services / turnover	Enhancement factor
Financial year in which RFP invited	1.00
One year prior to RFP	1.10
Two year prior to RFP	1.21
Three year prior to RFP	1.33

Bidder should indicate actual figures of costs and amount for the works executed by them without accounting for the above-mentioned factors.

### 2.3 The points assigned to Technical Evaluation criteria are: (As per Data Sheet of RFP)

S. No.	Description	Marks
1.	Relevant experience for the assignment	25
2.	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	75
9	Total	100

### (i) Sub criteria for Relevant Experience of the firm for the assignment.

Criteria	Marks.
Year of Establishment of the Firm (In case of JV year of establishment of Lead Member shall be considered)	2
Average Annual Turnover (last 3 years) from consultancy business	2



Experience in Construction Supervision/Independent Engineer/Authority Engineer in transportation Tunnel Projects (Road/Rail/Metro) of 40% of project length or 1.94 Km in length, which ever in minimum for which the RFP is invited in last 7 years*	16
Experience in DPR preparation/ Design/Feasibility Study for transportation Tunnel Projects of Road/rail/Metro of 40% of project length or 1.94 Km in length, which ever in minimum for which the RFP is invited in last 7 years*	5
Total	25

Consultants should give details of the experience of the firm considering the completed and the on-going highway assignments, separately for PPP and non-PPP Projects along with experience certificates from clients. This list of the completed works should also include those assignments which are substantially (90% of Contract value) completed. No Qualification/Experience etc. shall be considered without proof of experience.

Experience of Consultant for having offered consultancy services to a private organization shall also be considered as relevant experience for current assignment, provided the experience is duly endorsed by the respective Government Agency.

(ii) Sub criteria for Adequacy Qualification and competence of following professional/sub-professional staff for the assignment shall be evaluated. The weightage for various key staff are as under:-

S. No	Staff Position	Marks.
1	Team Leader Cum Senior Tunnel Expert	15
2	Residential Engineer cum Excavation specialist	10
3	Senior Geotechnical Expert	10
4	Tunnel Design Engineer	10
5	Tunnel ventilation Cum E&M expert	7
6	Senior Geologist	7
7	Tunnel Design Engineer	8
8	Contract Specialist	3
9	Instrumentation & Control (SCADA) Expert	5
	Total	75



### (iii) Sub criteria for qualification of key Personnel (i.e. Professional staff)

General qualifications	25
Adequacy for the project	70
Employment with firm	5
Total	100

The technical proposal should score at least 75 points to be considered responsive for financial evaluation.



### 2.4 Preparation of Technical Proposal

- 2.4.1 Bidder is expected to examine all terms and conditions included in the documents. Failure to act or to provide all requested information will be at your own risk and may result in rejection of your proposal.
- 2.4.2 During preparation of the Technical proposal the Bidder may give particular attention to the following:
  - i. The man-months for the assignment shall be that stated in the Terms of Reference. The same shall be considered for the purpose of evaluation as well as award. In case the man months of TOR are amended in view of Client's own initiative or in response to clarification sought by any Consulting firm, the man months so amended and published shall be considered for the purpose of evaluation as well as award.
  - ii. The Consultants should prefer to field as many of their permanent staff as possible. The permanent staff would be considered those already employed with the firm prior to one year from the month during which this Tender Notice is issued. Bidder shall submit the details of the period of employment of the proposed personnel with the firm.
  - iii. No alternative to key professional staff may be proposed and only one Curriculum Vitae (CV) may be submitted for each position and.
  - iv. A good working knowledge of the language specified in the data sheet is essential for key professional staff on this assignment. Reports must be in the language (s) specified in the data sheet.
- 2.4.3 Technical Proposal must provide the following information, using but not limited to the formats attached.
  - i. A brief description of the firm's organisation and an outline of recent experience of the Consultants and, in the case of Joint Venture, for each partner, on assignments of a similar nature. The information which you shall provide on each assignment should indicate, inter-alia, the profiles of the staff provided, duration, contract amount and firm's involvement. The details of assignments on hand shall also be furnished by the consultant and their JV partner, separately.
  - ii. Any comments or suggestions on the ToR and a description of the methodology (work plan) which the firm proposes to execute the services, illustrated with bar charts of activities.
  - iii. The composition of the proposed staff team, the tasks which shall be assigned to each and their timing;
  - iv. Requirement for submission of CVs
    - a. CVs strictly in the prescribed format are to be furnished on Infracon Portal.



- b. Key information should include years with the firm and degree of responsibility held in various assignments. In CV format, at summary, the individual shall declare his qualification & total experience (in years) against the requirements specified in TOR for the position (Ref. Enclosure-B of TOR). If any information is found incorrect, at any stage, action including termination and debarment from future projects upto 2 years may be taken by Employer on the personnel and the Firm.
- c. If same CV is submitted by two or more firms in an assignment, zero marks shall be given for such CV. Key personnel has to certify in their CV that he has not consented to any consultant other than the bidder to propose their CV for any position for this assignment. In case the key personnel is found having given consent to more than one bidder, he shall be debarred by the Employer for 2 years.

### d. Deleted

- e. All the CVs which are to be evaluated should be complete in all respects. The CVs of the sub professional staffs complete in all respects including signing and certification by the individual and the firm shall be required to be submitted by the selected bidder during Contract Negotiation and shall be evaluated vis-a-vis the qualifications and experience requirements by the client. It is clarified that the firm's proposal shall be evaluated only on the basis of its experience and key professional staff.
- If a CV score less than 75% marks, whatever marks it score will be carried forward for maximum 3 nos. key personnel for determining the total score of the firm. However, if the Key Personnel does not fulfill the minimum academic qualification (as mentioned at Enclosure-B of TOR of RFP), the overall score of his CV will be evaluated as zero. If the Key Personnel does not fulfill the minimum qualification related to experience (as mentioned at Enclosure-B of TOR of RFP), then zero marks will only be assigned for that sub criteria, but the marks obtained by the CV of the Key Personnel will be carried forward for maximum 3 nos. key personnel for determining the total score of the firm. In case, a firm is H-1, then all such Key Personnel (whose CV scores less than 75% or who does not fulfill the minimum qualification) will have to be replaced by the firm at the time of contract negotiations by persons scoring at least 75% marks. The reduction in remuneration of such replacements shall be 5%, 10% and 15 % for 1st replacement, 2nd replacement and 3rd replacement respectively. In case more than 3 CV scores less than 75% marks or Team leader cum Highway Engineer scores less than 75% marks, the proposal shall be considered nonresponsive. During negotiation, Key Personnel will be required to produce certificate regarding qualification. However, the officials retired from MoRT&H/State/UT PWD may be exempted from producing the experience certificate.

- g. Deployment Schedule for each key personnel should be formulated and incorporated in the Technical Proposal which will be reviewed on quarterly basis.
- h. Estimates of the total time effort (person x months) to be provided for the services, supported by bar chart diagrams showing the time proposed (person x months) for each professional staff and sub professional staff.
- i. A certification to the effect should be furnished by the Consultant that they have checked the qualifications and experiences details submitted by the key personnel in their CVs and found to be correct. This certification should be made in CVs of all key personnel after the certification by the candidate. The format of CV includes certification to this effect.
- j. Each key personnel of the preferred Consultant shall be called for interview at the time of negotiation at the cost of Consultant.
- k. Replacement of key personnel shall be considered only in unavoidable circumstances. In no case more than two replacements of key personnel shall be permitted during negotiation and in such cases Consultant and such key personnel shall have to submit affidavit to the effect that during the period of assignment specified in para 8 of Section:1, the replaced key personnel shall not be professionally employed anywhere in Employer's works. Employer shall not further consider CV of such key personnel directly or indirectly for any of its projects for this period. The reduction in remuneration of such replacements shall be 5% and 10% for 1st replacement and 2nd replacement respectively within validity period of bid. In case during negotiations held within validity period of bid, more than two replacements are sought by the H-1 consultant; his proposal shall be considered as Non-Responsive. In such case the combined score of remaining technically qualified firms, meeting the non-conflict condition shall be evaluated to arrive at new H-1. In case during interaction with the key personnel at the time of negotiation it is found that the key personnel proposed is un-suitable for the assignment position, his replacement by equivalent or better shall be provided by the consultant. The key personnel with such unsuitable CV shall not be considered in any future bids for that position for two years. No deduction for such replacement who are not found suitable during interaction shall be made. In the eventuality that a firm becomes nonresponsive, for the third time, due to the action of replacements of more than 2 key personnel during negotiation, the firm and its constituent JV partners and Associates shall be debarred upto one year for Employer's consultancy projects.
- 1. Any additional information.



### ANNEXURE-B Broad Principles adopted for RFP Evaluation



### 3. Broad Principle (as discussed with Authority):

As per our discussion held with the Authority, following assumptions have been considered for carrying out the evaluation:

### A. Firm's Relevant Experience

- i) Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent) The firm should have minimum experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/Design Feasibility Study/ of Tunnel\*\* project of aggregate length equal to 2 times i.e. (9.718 km)or more of similar category for which RFP is invited.
- ii) Firm should also have prepared DPR/Design/Feasibility Study/ for at least one project of similar Category of Tunnel\*\* work of 40% of project length i.e. (1.94 km).
- iii) Project Supervision/IC (NH/SH/Equivalent) The firm should have minimum experience of Project Supervision/Independent Engineer/Authority's Engineer of Tunnel project of aggregate length equal to 3 times i.e. (14.577) or more of similar category for which RFP is invited.
- iv) Firm should also have experience of Project Supervision/Independent Engineer/Authority' Engineer of at least one project of similar category of Tunnel work of 40% of project length i.e. (1.94 km).
- v) Cut-off Date for Eligible Projects completed during last 7 years For the purpose of determining the Firm's relevant experience in last 7 years only those Projects have been considered which have been completed between the dates from September 4, 2011 to September 5, 2018. Hence, if a project's completion date falls before 04.09.2011, then such project has not been considered for eligible experience towards DPR/ Feasibility Study/ Project Supervision/Independent Engineer.
- vi) Date of Completion of the Projects In case the Date of Completion of Project is not mentioned in the Completion Certificate, then, for the purpose of determining whether the project is completed in the last 7 years, the Date of Issuance of the Completion Certificate has been considered as the Date of Completion of the Project and information given in Experience Certificate/Completion Certificate issued by the Government client / Agencies has been considered as Final
- vii) Experience of Detailed Engineering Design/Detailed Design
  Where the Detailed Engineering Design/ Detailed Design have been carried out
  as a part of Consultancy Services the same has been considered as part of
  Experience in DPR Projects. And in case of Feasibility Study cum Preliminary
  Design Report, it has been considered as Feasibility Study.



- B. Relating to Qualification and Competence of the Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment
  - (i) Qualification of the Key Personnel

    No Marks have been awarded where the specialization/Stream of the Desirable

    Educational Qualification is claimed by the Key Personnel in the CV but the
    same has not been reflected in the Degree/Qualification Certificate.
  - (ii) Marks towards Employment with the Bidder Firm While ascertaining the Employment with the firm:
    - (a) Only the current employment till date of invitation of the proposal/NIT has been considered. Any past employment with the Firm has not been considered in period of Employment with the Firm.
  - (iii) Length of Professional Experience
    For the purpose of computing Length of total Professional Experience of the Key
    Personnel (KP), we have considered the same from the Date of commencement of
    his/her first job in the relevant field till date of invitation of the proposal/NIT.
  - (iv) For evaluating the CV of Tunnel Design Engineer, designation of Senior Tunnel engineer/expert has been considered in similar capacity.
  - (v) For evaluating the CV similar capacity of Tunnel Ventilation cum E&M Expert, designation Executive engineer/Superintending engineer have been considered in similar capacity.



### ANNEXURE-C Summary of Final RFP Evaluation



- 4. Evaluation of Stage-I Technical Proposal. (As per Clause 11(A) Section-1 of RFP)
  - 4.1 At the outset bids have been evaluated on the basis of minimum eligibility requirement and relevant experience of firm for this assignment (as per criteria provided in Clause 11(A) Section-1 of RFP).

On the basis of the evaluation, two (2) out of four (4) bidders is not meeting the criteria of minimum eligibility and relevant experience consequently it has been considered non-responsive.

The name of the bidders along with their reasons of non-responsiveness is mentioned as below:

S. No of Proposal	Name of Firm	Remarks/ Reasons
3/4	M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag	As per RFP's Section 1, point no. 11 A, S.No. 1 (a) & (b) Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent), the firm should have minimum experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/Design Feasibility Study/ of Tunnel project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited and Firm should also have prepared DPR/Design/Feasibility Study/ for at least one project of similar category of Tunnel** work of 40% of project length respectively. In case of joint venture/consortium, above mentioned eligibility criteria are to be met by the lead member of joint venture.  Further, as per RFP's Section 1, point no. 11 B, in case of the JV, the lead member must fulfill at least 50% of eligibility criteria mentioned above and other JV partner should fulfill atleast 30% of eligibility criteria as described above.  However, in the case M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag, the bidder has been seen ideaed accurate response in the ligibility criteria.
		Hence bidder has been considered as non-responsive.



4/4	M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.	As per RFP point no. 11 A S.No. 1 (a) Project Supervision/IC (NH/SH/Equivalent) of section 1, the firm should have minimum experience of Project Supervision/Independent Engineer/Authority's Engineer of Tunnel project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited i.e., 4.859.  However, in case of M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd., the bidder is not meeting the requisite eligibility criteria.  Hence bidder has been considered as non-responsive.
-----	---	--

4.2 On the basis of evaluation, two (2) out of four (4) bidders were responsive and meeting the minimum eligibility requirement and relevant experience of firm for the assignment (as per criteria provided in Clause 11(A) & (B) of RFP). Hence, were considered for further evaluation i.e., qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment The bidders are as follows:

S. No. of the Proposal	Name of Bidder
1/4	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic
	Consultants Pvt Ltd.
2/4	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA
	Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.



### 5. Evaluation of Technical Proposal

5.1 Further, on the basis of the evaluation, more than 3 Key Personnel of the bidder, M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd., are getting less than 75% marks. The details of key personnel of the bidder are given below:

S. No. of	The state of the s	0 0	the control of the c
Proposal	Bidders	than 75% Marks	clause 3.4(iv) (f) of Section-2 of RFP)
2/4	M/s Geodata	Mr. Darko Muza	Key Personnel scored 67 marks out of
	Engineering	Residential	100 marks which is less than 75
	Spa in	Engineer cum	marks.
	association	Excavation	
	with M/s	specialist	
	LMA	Mr. Domenico	Key Personnel scored 69.5 marks out
	Engineering	Parisi	of 100 marks which is less than 75
-	Consultants	Tunnel Design	marks.
	Pvt Ltd	Engineer	
		O	
		Mr. Pradeep	Key Personnel scored 65 marks out of
		Goswami	100 marks which is less than 75
		SR. GEOLOGIST	marks.
			mano.
		Mr. Rafat Ahmad	Key Personnel scored 40 marks out of
		Khan	100 marks which is less than 75
		Tunnel ventilation	marks.
		SOUR M. PRESENCE CONTRACTOR CONTR	marks.
		Cum E&M expert	
		Mr. Ajay Kumar	Key Personnel scored 35 marks out of
		Instrumentation &	100 marks which is less than 75
		2000 B WELL D O	FILE STATES PROCESSOR STATES AND STATES STAT
		\ /	marks.
		Expert	

As per RFP document section 2, clause 3.4.(iv).f, in case more than 3 CV scores less than 75% marks or Team leader cum Highway Engineer scores less than 75% marks, the proposal shall be considered nonresponsive. Hence, the bidder is non-responsive.



### 5.2 Clarification

On the basis of evaluation, clarification is sought from following bidders

1/4. M	/s TPF Getinsa Euroe	estudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.
	ications sought are	
SI. No.	Appendix/ Annex No.	Description of clarification sought
1.	Appendix N Bank Guarantee for bid security	In bank guarantee, line no. 47 words "The liability of bank under this Guarantee shall not be affected by any change in the constitution of the consultant or of the Bank" are missing.  Bidder to furnish amendment to bank guarantee for above mentioned query.

2/4. M/	s Geodata Engineerin	ng Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.
	cations sought are	
S1.	Appendix/	Description of clarification sought
No.	Annex No.	
1.	Appendix N	In bank guarantee, line no. 47 words "The liability of bank
	Bank Guarantee	under this Guarantee shall not be affected by any change in
	for bid security	the constitution of the consultant or of the Bank" are missing.
		Bidder to furnish amendment to bank guarantee for above mentioned query.
2.	Clause 2.2	Mr. Paul James has not furnished graduation degree in
		Civil/Mining Engineering or equivalent.
		Bidder to clarify.

The result of scrutiny of the bids is attached for your perusal.

- a. Relevant experience of the Firm for the assignment
- b. Qualifications and Competence of the key staff for the assignment



Almondz Infrastructure Consultants National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd (NHIDCL)

AE – Silkyara Bend –Barkot Final RFP Evaluation Report – Sept. 2018



### AE – Silkyara Bend –Barkot Final RFP Evaluation Report – Sept. 2018

## Result of the Evaluation: -

Development Corporation Ltd (NHIDCL)

Almondz Infrastructure Consultants National Highway & Infrastructure

# Final Technical Evaluation score sheet:

S. No.	S. No.   Name of Firm	Relevant experience for the assignment	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	Total	Rank	Whether the Technical Responsive applicant is Responsive/Non-
	Max Marks	25	75	100		Responsive for opening of Financial Proposal.
1/4	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.	25	82.69	94.78	HI	Responsive
2/4	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.	25	51.65	76.65		Non-Responsive



More than 3 CV i.e. (Resident cum Tunnel Excavation Expert, Tunnel Design Engineer, Sr. Geologist, Tunnel ventilation Cum E&M expert, Instrumentation & Control (SCADA) Expert) scores less than 75% marks, therefore the proposal shall be considered Non - Responsive as per Clause 3.4 (iv) (f) of RFP. Hence bidder has considered non-responsive.

## AE – Silkyara Bend –Barkot Final RFP Evaluation Report – Sept. 2018

Result of the Evaluation: -

Development Corporation Ltd (NHIDCL)

Almondz Infrastructure Consultants National Highway & Infrastructure Final Technical Evaluation score sheet:

Z	S. No. Name of Firm	Relevant experience for the assignment	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	Total	Rank	Whether the Technical Responsive applicant is Responsive/Non-
	Max Marks	25	52	100		Responsive for opening of Financial Proposal.
1/4	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s	25	69.78	94.78	HI	Responsive
2/4	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.	25	51.65	76.65		Non-Responsive

More than 3 CV i.e. (Resident cum Tunnel Excavation Expert, Tunnel Design Engineer, Sr. Geologist, Tunnel ventilation Cum E&M expert, Instrumentation & Control (SCADA) Expert) scores less than 75% marks, therefore the proposal shall be considered Non - Responsive as per Clause 3.4 (iv) (f) of RFP. Hence bidder has considered non-responsive.



Almondz Infrastructure Consultants National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd (NHIDCL)

AE – Silkyara Bend –Barkot Final RFP Evaluation Report – Sept. 2018

Non-Responsivet		5	Non-Remonetizet	TOTL-MC3PO11SIVE+	
M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd.	Joint venture with M/s Amberg	Engineering Ag	M/s Systra S.A. in association with	M/s Pems Engineering Consultants	Pvt Ltd.
5/4			4/4		

The combined score for the evaluation carried out is attached.

7. Recommendation:

† As per RFP's Section 1, point no. 11 A, S.No. 1 (a) & (b) Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent), the firm should have minimum experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/Design Feasibility Study/ of Tunnel project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited and Firm should also have prepared DPR/Design/Feasibility Study/ for at least one project of similar category of Tunnel\*\* work of 40% of project length respectively. In case of joint venture/consortium, above mentioned eligibility criteria are to be met by the lead member of joint

Further, as per RFP's Section 1, point no. 11 B, in case of the JV, the lead member must fulfill at least 50% of eligibility criteria mentioned above and other JV partner should fulfill atleast 30% of eligibility criteria as described above. However, in the case M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag, the bidder is not meeting both the above mentioned eligibility criteria. Hence bidder has been considered as non-

responsive

SONOW!

As per RFP point no. 11 A S.No. 1 (a) Project Supervision/IC (NH/SH/Equivalent) of section 1, the firm should have minimum experience of Project system in Supervision/Independent Engineer/Authority's Engineer of Tunnel project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited i.e., 4.859. However, in case of M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd., the bidder is not meeting the requisite eligibility criteria. Hence bidder has been considered as non-responsive.

Almondz Infrastructure Consultants National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd (NHIDCL)

AE – Silkyara Bend –Barkot

Final RFP Evaluation Report - Sept. 2018

Based on the assessment carried out herein below 1 firm/Applicant/Bidder is qualifying, as per the detailed mentioned below:

S.No of Proposal	Name of Firm	Total	Remarks/ Rank	Responsive/Non- Responsive
1/4	$\rm M/s~TPF~Getinsa~Euroestudios~S.L.~in~association~with~M/s~Rodic~Consultants~Pvt~Ltd.$	94.78		Responsive
2/4	$\rm M/s$ Geodata Engineering Spa in association with $\rm M/s$ LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.		Non-Responsive	1
3/4	$\rm M/s$ Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with $\rm M/s$ Amberg Engineering Ag		Non-Responsive	sive
4/4	M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.		Non-Responsive	sive

# Conflict of interest of Concessionaire: -

Our scope of work is limited to the evaluation of the technical proposals, wherein consultant firms mentioned above have been RFP Document, whereby it is required to ascertain the fact that there has been no conflict of interest among the short listed bidders considered to be responsive for the opening of financial proposals. However, Authority's attention is drawn to the provisions of before proceeding for the opening of financial proposals.



### Name of the Project

Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi-Directional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand.

### List of the Applicants:-

Applicant No:-	Name of the Applicant	Status of the Applicant Sole/JV/ Association
1/4	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.	Association
2/4	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.	Association
3/4	M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag	JV
4/4	M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.	Association

Project Supervision of DRR (WH/SH/Grainhould Bank minimum The firm abould have minimum The firm abould abo have coperated of decision The firm abould about the second to appear the feeting the consultants by The feeting The firm abould about the RP Is a mined of aggregate length to a more of similar category of which RP is a mined and the firm about the firm abould about the above mentioned digibility criteria as a described above. Havever, in the case M/s minimum the firm above mentioned digibility criteria are described above. Havever, in the case M/s minimum the firm above mentioned digibility criteria are described above. Head member to finm the transfer of project length the have the firm above mentioned digibility criteria are described above. Head member to finm the transfer of minimum the project of giptility criteria are described above. Head member the firm above mentioned digibility criteria are described above. Head member to finm the above mentioned digibility criteria are described above. Head member the support of the prepared polyther with the prepared polyther and the prepared polyther and the prepared polyther and the project of digibility criteria are described above. He are some the prepared polyther and the project of giptility criteria are described above. He are a member to g	INIM	MINIMUM ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA As per 11(A) of RFP						
The firms doubt those motion was required to the Applicants of detailed Project Report Post Report Project Repo			Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent)	•	Project Supervision/IC (NH/SH/Equivale	ф	Annual Turnover	
My Storts S.A. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Port Let.  My Meinhardt Singapore Pre. Let. Joint no. 11 A., S.No. 1 (a) & (b) Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Fquivalent), the firm should have minimum experience of preparation of detailed Projeted member of Joint venture. Further, as per RFP's Section 1, point no. 11 A, S.No. 1 (a) & (b) Proparation of DPR (NH/SH/Fquivalent), the lead member must fulfill at least 30% of eligibility criteria as described above. However, in the case M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Consultants as described above. However, in the case M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Consultant as described above. However, in the case M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering not meeting both the above mentioned eligibility criteria. Hence bidder has been considered as non-responsive.)	S. S.		The firm should have minimum experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/Design Feasibility Study/ of Tunnel project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited.	The firm should have minimum experience of Project Supervision/Independent Engineer/ Authority's Engineer of Tunnel project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more of similar category** for which RFP is invited.	Firm should also have prepared DPR/Design/Feasibility Study/ for at least one project of similar category of Tunnel work of 40% of project length.			whether the Applicant is Technical "Responsive" or "Non-Responsive".
M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Port Ltd.         yes         yes         yes           with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Port Ltd.         No         yes         yes         yes           M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint with M/s Pems         No         yes         yes         yes           M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems         yes         yes         yes         yes           M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems         yes         yes         yes           M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems         yes         yes         yes           M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems         yes         yes         yes           M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Amberg Engineering Consultants Port Ltd.         No         yes         yes           M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Amberg Engineering Consultants Port Ltd.         No         yes         yes           *{As per RFP's Section I, point no. 11 A., S.No. 1 (a) & (b) Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent), the firm should have minimum experience of preparation of detailed Project length respectively. In case of fine JV, the lead member of joint venture. Further, as per REP's Section I, point no. 11 B, in case of the JV, the lead member must fulfill at least 50% of eligibility criteria as described above. However, in the case M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering point the above mentioned eligibility c	1/4	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.		yes	yes	sak	yes	Responsive
M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag       No       yes       Yes         M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems       yes       yes       yes         M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems       yes       yes         M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems       yes       yes         M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems       yes       yes         *{As per RFP's Section 1, point no. 11 A, S.No. 1 (a) & (b) Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent), the firm should have minimum experience of preparation of detailed Project Feasibility Study/ of Tunnel project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited and Firm should also have prepared DPR/Design/for at least one project of similar category of Tunnel** work of 40% of project length respectively. In case of joint venture-Consortium, above mentioned eligibility criteria as described above. However, in the case M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering not meeting both the above mentioned eligibility criteria. Hence bidder has been considered as non-responsive.}	2/4	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.		yes	yes	yes	yes	Responsive
*{As per RFP's Section 1, point no. 11 A, S.No. 1 (a) & (b) Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent), the firm should have minimum experience of preparation of detailed Projet Feasibility Study/ of Tunnel project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited and Firm should also have prepared DPR/Design/for at least one project of similar category of Tunnel** work of 40% of project length respectively. In case of joint venture/consortium, above mentioned eligibility criteria are tlead member of joint venture. Further, as per RFP's Section 1, point no. 11 B, in case of the JV, the lead member must fulfill at least 50% of eligibility criteria as described above. However, in the case M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering not meeting both the above mentioned eligibility criteria. Hence bidder has been considered as non-responsive.}	3/4	M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag	o N	yes	No	yes	yes	Non-Responsive*
	4/4	M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pem Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.		No	yes	yes	yes	Non-Responsive**
	lote:		to 11 A, S.No. 1 (a) & (b) Pre ect of aggregate length equal category of Tunnel** work o rther, as per RFP's Section 1, of eligibility criteria as descrioned eligibility criteria. Henc	paration of DPR (NH/SH/Equiv to 2 times or more of similar cat f 40% of project length respecti , point no. 11 B, in case of the JN ibed above. However, in the cas e bidder has been considered as	valent), the firm should have n tegory for which RFP is invite ively. In case of joint venture/c, the lead member must fulfil se M/s Meinhardt Singapore P s non-responsive.	ninimum experience of prepara d and Firm should also have pronsortium, above mentioned el 1 at least 50% of eligibility crite te. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s	ution of detailed Pro repared DPR/Desigr ligibility criteria are eria mentioned abov Amberg Engineerii	ject Report/Design/ject Report/Design/feasibility Study to be met by the ve and other JV ng Ag, the bidder



Const	ne of the Project
	struction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi-Directional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km
	falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand.

Average annual turnover from consultancy services after following enhancement factor

Year of Completion of Services/turnover	
Financial Year in which RFP invited (2017-18)	1
One Year Prior to RFP (2016-17)	1.1
Two Year Prior to RFP (2015-16)	1.21
Three Year Prior to RFP (2014-15)	1.33

S.No.	Name of Consultancy Firm/Entity	Average annual turnover from consultancy services after following enhancement factor						Annual Average Turnover in Last 3	Eligibility	marks obtained or of maximus	
	(Sole Bidder/Bidder in JV)	201	7-18	20:	16-17	201	5-16		years 2015-16 to	(Crore)	marks 2
		1.	.1	1	.21	1.	33		2017-18		Assesed
1/4	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.	255.67	281.24	315.90	382.24	226.38	301.09		321.52	Yes	2
2/4	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.	195.90	215.49	189.70	229.54	232.94	309.81		251.61	Yes	2
	M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd	383.60	421.96	465.50	563.26	378.94	503.99		496.40		
3/4	M/s Amberg Engineering Ag	167.58	184.34	163.46	197.79	167.68	223.01		201.71	Yes	2
	M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag						l		698.11		
4/4	M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.	30.91	34.00	32.47	39.29	31.60	42.03		38.44	Yes	1.75



						alor	ii (NH-134 (old NH-94) ii	along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand.						n idiing
					Project Length	-	4.859 Km						Annexure-III "A	-III 'A"
	Name of Applicant				1/4		M/s	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd	sociation with M/s Rodic	Consultan	ts Pvt Ltc			
	Applicant status				Association									
	Project Supervision/IC@NH/SH/Equivalent)	SH/Equivale	int)					3 times or more of project length i.e.	9.				11 577 Vm	2
	Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent)	/Equivalent	)	25.				2 times or more of project length i.e	e.				9 718 Km	Km
	Minimum Length of Single Project	Project					40%	40% of the project length for which RFP invited	invited				1.9436 Km	Km
	Experience in Construction Supervision/Independent Engineer in Highway	ruction Sup	ervision/Ind	epuede	nt Engineer			Projects of length equal to 40 % of projects length or more of similar capacity (2/4/6 laning*) for which RFP is invited in	more of similar capacity	y (2/4/6 la	ining*)	or whic	h RFP is invit	ted in
	Client name	Project Done	Status in Past Project	wi %	Length of Project	Lane/ Bridge	Tunnel or Not	Date of Completion	% of Completion	Eligible Length	Remar	Page no.	Whether	marks
	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	5 5	13	13	project	ם י
	ADIF	S	Ŋ	9	6.14	N/A		22.01.2012	100.00	3.68	717	187	Vac	3
	NEAODOS	ន	۲	20	6.02	N/A	<b>L</b>	11.08.2017	100.00	3.01		189	200	
	Infraestructures.ca t	ន	SOLE	100	28.3	N/A	_	31.07.2017	68.86	28.30		161	Yes	16
								Aggregate length		34.99				
										Yes	S			
										Eligible	ble			
- CONTRACTOR -	Experience in DPR pre	paration/Fe	asibility Study	rcum Pr	eliminary De	sign Report	for Number of Highway	Experience in DPR preparation/Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report for Number of Highway Projects(of length 40% of project length or more of similar capacity (2/4/6 laning*) for which RFP is invited	ength or more of similar c	apacity (2,	/4/6 lani	ng*) for	which RFP is i	invited
S.No	Client name	Project Done	Status in Past Project	% in N	Length of Project	Lane/ Bridge	Tunnel or Not	Date of Completion	% of Completion	Eligible Length of	Remar	Page no.	Whether the project length is	marks
10000	2	3	4	.5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15
	ADIF	۸۲	DPR	65	9.25	N/A	Tunnel	22.05.2015	100.00	6.01		239	Yes	3
	ADIF	<u>ک</u>	DPR	09	6.14	N/A	T	22.01.2012	100.00	3.68		245	Yes	-
	PWA	ASSOCIATE	n P B	36	20.30	V/ N4	7	30 11 2012						5



Score Claimed

Yes

18.94

Aggregate length

Nam					Y	m falling alt	ong NH-134 (old NH-5	km falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand.						5
Nam					Project Length		4.859 Km						Annexure-III-"A"	A"-III-8
	Name of Applicant				2/4		M/s Geoda	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd	in with M/s LMA Engine	sering Con	sultants	Pvt Ltd.		
Appli	Applicant status				Association									
Proje	Project Supervision/IC@NH/SH/Equivalent)	H/SH/Equiv	alent)				3	3 times or more of project length i.e	e.				11 577 Km	K 32
Prep	Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent)	'SH/Equivale	ent)				2	2 times or more of project length i.e	i.e				9 718 Km	¥ 2
Mini	Minimum Length of Single Project	le Project					40% of	40% of the project length for which RFP invited	P invited				1.9436 Km	5 Km
1.	Experience in Const	truction Sup	ervision/Ino	epender	nt Engineer in H	lighway Pro	jects of length equal	Experience in Construction Supervision/Independent Engineer in Highway Projects of length equal to 40 % of projects length or more of similar capacity (2/4/6 laning*) for which RFP is invited in last	ore of similar capacity (2	2/4/6 lanii	g*) for v	vhich R	P is invited	d in la
S.N	Client name	Project Done	Status in Past Project	% in ≥	Length of Project	Lane/ Bridge	Tunnel or Not	Date of Completion	% of Completion	Eligible Length	Remar	Page no.	Whether	marks obtaine
1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	7, 2
1	LMGT	S	SOLE	100	21.46	N/A	Tunnel	01.11.2013	100.00	21.46		143	Yes	
								Aggregate length		21.46				16
										Yes	S	Į.		
										Eligible	ble			
2.	Experience in DPR preparation/Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design RED is invited in last 7 years	reparation/	Feasibility 5	tudy cur	n Preliminary L	esign Repo	rt for Number of Hig	Report for Number of Highway Projects(of length 40% of project length or more of similar capacity (2/4/6 laning*) for which	project length or more o	of similar o	apacity (	2/4/61	ining*) for	whic
S.N	Client name	Project Done	Status in Past Project	" v	Length of Project	Lane/ Bridge	Tunnel or Not	Date of Completion	% of Completion	Eligible Length of	Remarks	Page no.	Whether	marks
1	2	3	4	S	9	7	8	6	. 10	11	12	13	14	15
1	PERU	FS	27	70	15	N/A	Tunnel	31.07.2013	100.00	10.50		112	Yes	
7	DGBR	DPR	SOLE	100	17.86	N/A	Tunnel	30.06.2015	100.00	17.86		105	Yes	S
8	=	FS	SOLE	100	38.55	N/A	1	25.02.2015	100.00	38.55		128	Yes	
								Aggregate length		66.91				
-										Yes	S			



					Project Leng	4	.859 Km						Annexure-III-"A"
Nam	of Applicant				3/4			M/s M	leinhardt Singapore	Pte. Ltd.	Joint vent	ure with M/:	s Amberg Engineering Ag
Appli	cant status ct Supervision/IC	INH/SH/E	quivalent)		Association	-	3 time	s or more of project le	ngth i.e			· · · · ·	14.577 Km
	ration of DPR (N						2 time	s or more of project le	ngth i.e				9.718 Km
Minir	num Length of Si	ngle Proje	ect				40% of the	project length for whic	h RFP invited	-	4.		1,9436 Km
i.	Experience in Constru	ction Super	rision/Independe	nt Enginer	er in Highway i	rojects of len	gth equal to 40 % of s	projects length or more of sim	ilar capacity (2/4/6 laning	e*) for which	RFP is invite	d in last 7 years.	。 第一章
S.No	Client name	Project Done	Status in Past Project	% in JV	Length of Project	Lane/ Bridge	Tunnel or Not	Date of Completion	% of Completion	Eligible Length of Project	Page No.	Whether the project length is 1.94 Km or More.	Remarks
1	LTA	CS CS	SOLE	100	9	7 N/A	Tunnel	9 06.06.2017	10 100.00	9.00	134	Yes	15 Considered
2	LTA	cs	SOLE	100	e/1 - 1	N/A					137 To 138	No	The bidder ia provided LOA instead of Completion Certificate, Hence the project is not considered
3	KRCL	cs	SOLE	100	7.91	N/A	T	31.03.2018	100.00	7.91	153	Yes	Considered
	SEU	cs	JV			N/A			100.00	0.00	148	No	The Client completion certificate issued by SELI dat 21.03.2018 and As per RFP Appendix A, Clause Viii "Only those projects, to be included in the table wi are Tunnel Projects and for which clients certificate from the concerned Government agencies are encl with the proposal."Hence the project is not consider.
4				<u> </u>				Aggregate length		16.91 Ye	,		
						144				Eligi			
2	Experience in DPR pre	paration/Fe	asibility Study cur	m Prelimin	ary Design Re	port far Numb	er of Highway Projec	ts[of length 40% of project ler	ngth or more of similar ca	pacity (2/4/	6 laning*) for	which RFP is inv	vited in last 7 years.
S.No	Client name	Project Done	Status in Past Project	% in JV	Length of Project	Lane/ Bridge	Tunnel or Not	Date of Completion	% of Completion	Eligible Length of Project	Page No.	Whether the project length is 1.94 Km or More.	Remarks
1	Z MIR	DPR	4	5	6	n/A		2014	yes	0.00	12	No	The completion certificate provided by the author MTR on 9th April 2018. In completion certificate th tunnel length is not mentioned. Hence the project considered.
z	MTR	DPR	Sale			N/A		2016	yes	0.00	143	No	The certificate issued by Authority MTR on 12th se 2013, in the certificate the work design of Railway Station and Tunnels(Cut & Cover, Open Cut, and Mined). The tunnel length is not given in the certif Hence the project is not considered.
3	MTR	DPR	JV			N/A		2011	yes	0.00	145	No	The certificate issued by Authority MTR on April 20 in the certificate the project completion date mentioned in 2011 but the actual date of completi and Type of tunnel is not given please Clarify. Henproject is not considered.
4	NHAi	DPR	SOLE			N/A				0.00	150	No	The completion certificate issued by autority NHAI 27.06.2018, the project completion date is not give Hence the project is not considered
5	AlpTransit Gotthard AG	DPR	Sole			N/A			_	0.00	151	No	The Client completion certificate issued by AlpTrar Gotthard AG dated 07.03.2018 and As per RFP Appendix A, Clause Viii (b) "Only those projects, to included in the table which are Tunnel Projects and which clients certificates from the concerned Government agencies are enclosed with the propo
6	KRCL	DPR	Sole	100	7.91	N/A	Tunnel	31.03.2018	yes	7.91	153	yes	The scope of the project is Design and Construction supervision, Hence the project is considered.
7	IID-IID CEM JV	DPR	Sole	100		N/A					154	No	The Client completion certificate issued by ITD-ITD CEN Joint venyure dated 02.07.2018 and As per RFP Apper Clause Viii (b) "Only those projects, to be included in the table which are Tunnel Projects and for which clients certificates from the concerned Government agencies enclosed with the proposal."Hence the project is not considered.
8	L&T SUCG JV	DPR	Sole	100		N/A				0.00	156	No	The Client completion certificate issued by L&T SU dated 02.05.2018 and As per RFP Appendix A, Clat Viii (b) "Only those projects, to be included in the which are Tunnel Projects and for which clients certificates from the concerned Government agen



Stimes of more of project length i.e.						Project Lengt	7	.859 Km						Project Lengs 4.859 Km Annexure-III."A
Stimute that they were in tightway project of length teams of the project tength teams of the project tength teams of length teams of the project tength teams of length stand to both of project tength teams of length stand to both of project tength teams of length stand to both of project tength teams of length stand to both of project tength of the project tength of project tength of the project tength of th	Name of Appl	licant				4/4			M/s Systra S.A.	in association with M/s Pe	ems Engineer	ing Con	sultants Pvt Lt	
Status in Part   Win IV   Investity of the project of completion   September	Applicant stat	itus	10-11-11			Association								
Status in Part   National Projects of length day of projects breight or more of similar capacity (JA/G langer) To which RPP invited in the principle of the project of similar capacity (JA/G langer) To which RPP invited in the Tysus.    Source   100   1.5   N/A   Tunnel or Not   31.03.2013   10.00   1.50	Preparation of	of DPR (NH/SH/E	duivalent)						3 times or more of project length i.e.					14.577 Km
State   Part	Minimum I Pro	neth of Single Pro	liert					7000	מין וווספה מין אומן בין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין א					9.718 Km
Client name   Project Done   Statut in Part   Statut in		1 2 9 9 11 12 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	olect.					40%	of the project length for which RFP invit	ied				1.9436 Km
Client name   Project Done   Sales in Paris   Sales in		ice in Construction S	upervision/Indep	endent Engineer i	in Highway Projec	cts of length equa	d to 40 % of projec	ts length or more of simi	lar capacity (2/4/6 laning*) for which RFP is invit	ted in last 7 years.				
15   16   17   18   19   19   19   19   19   19   19		Client name	-	Status in Past Project	% in JV	Length of Project	Lane/ Bridge	Tunnel or Not	Date of Completion	% of Completion	Eligible Length of Project	Page no.	Whether the project length is 1.94 Km or	Remarks
STATE   C.S.   SOLE   100   1.5.8   N/A   T   20.07.2018   51.00   1.2.8   1	1	2	£	4		9	7	8	6	10		1.5	14	
STRTE   CS   SOLE   100   12.8   N/A   T   SOL72018   91.00   12.80	1	PMD	ຽ	SOLE	100	1.5	N/A	Tunnel	31.03.2013	100.00	1.50	84	No	Considered
Chebrique in DPA preparation/feasibility Study can Prefind any Design Report for Number of Highway Project of tength of No.   Chebrique in DPA preparation/feasibility Study can Prefind any Design Report for Number of Highway Project of Completion   Study of DPA   Study of D	2	SFTRF	S	SOLE	100	12.8	N/A	1	20.07.2018	91.00	12.80	95	Yes	Considered
Non-Eligi   Diperference in DPHs preparent for Percintant Profession for Number of Mighway Projects (or Musch of project fought or more of similar capacity (2/4/6 laning*) for which REP is invited in last 7 years.    2	8								Aggregate length		14.30			
Digital Client name   Project Done   Sausa in Peal III   Project   Sausa in Peal III   Project   Sausa in Peal III   Project   Sausa in Peal III											No			
Client name   Project Done   Status in Pari   Nich in Project   Status in Project   Status in Pari   Status i											Non-Elig	ple		
Clerit name		ice in DPR preparation	on/Feasibility Stud	ly cum Preliminar	ry Design Report	for Number of Hi	ghway Projects(of	length 40% of project len	igth or more of similar capacity (2/4/6 laning*) for	or which RFP is invited in last 7 y	rears.			
No.   1		Client name	Project Done	Status in Past Project	% in JV	Length of Project	Lane/ Bridge	Tunnel or Not	Date of Completion	% of Completion	Eligible Length of Project	Page no.	Whether the project length is 1.94 Km or More.	Remarks
PMD   DPR   SOLE   100   342   N/A   Tunnel   13.0,2013   100.00   3.82   N/A   Tunnel   13.0,2013   100.00   10.00			3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	14	4
RESEAURERRE DE FRANCE         DPR         SOLE         100         1A         T         12.11.2013         10.00         10.00           SOCIET         DPR         SOLE         150         15         N/A         T         31.08.2018         10.00         15.00	-	PMD	DPR	SOLE	100	3.82	N/A	Tunnel	31.07.2013	100.00	3.82	98	Yes	Considered
SOCKET         DPR         SOLE         150         AA         T         31.08.2018         150.00         15.00           DEPT OF SAVOIE         DPR         SOLE         150         1.5         N/A         T         2018         100.00         1.50           RESEAU FERRE DE FRANCE         DPR           N/A	2	RFDF	DPR	SOLE	100	10	N/A	F	12.11.2013	100.00	10.00	120	Yes	Considered
DEFT OF SAVOIE DPR SOLE 1200 1.5 M/A T 2018 1.5.00 1.5.0 1.5	m	SOCIETE	DPR	SOLE	100	15	N/A	ı	31.08.2018	100.00	15.00	124	Yes	Considered
RESEAU FERRE DE FRANCE DFR N/A N/A 1211.2013 190.00		EPT OF SAVOIE	ряк	SOLE	OOT	11.	N/A		8102	100.00	1.50	5	92	(i) The certificate is considered only in DPR work.  work.  (ii) In the certifiacte the work of "Assistance in Supervision and monitoring including Construction Supervision and contract administration and Assistance during the acceptance of the works", the work is not considered in Construction supervision. Hence, the project considered in DPR.
		U FERRE DE FRANCE	D PR				N/A		12.11.2013	100.00		66	o N	In the Client cerificate, the length of Tunnel is not mentioned. Hence the project is not considered.
6 RESEAUTERED DE PR	9/1	U FERRE DE FRANCE	DPR	ALLES TO THE TOTAL OF T	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	***************************************	N/A	array .	1	-		105	o Z	In the Client cerificate, the length of Tunnel and date of completion are not mentioned. Hence the project is not considered.
Aggregate length. 30.32	1								Aggregate length		30.32			
Yes	7										Yes			

	ches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State	of Uttarakhand.	VANT EXPERIENCE FOR THE ASSIGNMENT
Construction, Op	approaches on [		FIRMS RELEVANT EXPERI

Z.	Applicant No.	Max	1/4	2/4
	Description	Marks	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.
			Assesed	Assesed
Year of e establish	Year of establishment of the firm (in case of IV, year of establishment of lead member shall be considered).	2	2	2
Up to 5 y	Up to 5 years: 1.5 marks	1.5		
More tha	More than 5 years: 2 marks	0.5	2	2
Average two years	Average Annual Turnover (last 5 years or in each of the preceding two years) from consultancy business	2	2	2
< Rs 22.3	< Rs 22.39 crore: 0 marks	0		
Rs 22.39c	Rs 22.39crore: 1.5	1.5		
Add for a mark for subject to	Add for additional turnover 0.25 (zero point two five) mark for every Rs 11.19 crore above Rs 22.39 crore subject to maximum 0.5 marks.	0.5	2	2
Experien Engineer, the last 7 than 1.94	Experience in construction supervision/Independent Engineer/Authority's Engineer of a tunnel project during the last 7 years of minimum length equal to or more than 1.94 km in a single stretch	16	16	16
(i) 1.94 km = 0	0 = E	0		
(ii) ≥1.94	(ii) ≥1.94 Km to 4.00 Km = 12	12		
(iii) ≥4.00	(iii) ≥4.00 to 6.00 Km = 13	13		
(iv) >6.00	(iv) >6.00 to 8.00 Km = 14	14		
(v) > 8.00	(v) > 8.00 and equal to 10.00 Km = 15	15		
(vi) >10 a	(vi) >10 and above = 16	16	16	16
Experience of tunnel	Experience in DPR preparation/Design/Feasibility Study of tunnel projects of length equal to or more than 1.94 Km in a single stretch in last 7 years.	ľ	S	2
1 project:	1 project: 4 marks	4		
Add 0.5 (additiona	Add 0.5 (zero point two five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 mark.	5	5	5
Total		25.00	25.00	25.00
				•



	Summary Sheet of CV's			1				
S. S.	Details	Total	1 2500	1/4 tinsa Euroestudios S.L. in assoc M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.	1/4 M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.	M/s Geodata E with M/s LMA E	2/4 Engineering ngineering	2/4 M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.
			Name	Score	Assesed @75	Name	Score	Assesed @75
Н	Team Leader cum Senior Tunnel Expert	15	Nikolaos Vittis	95	14.25	Paul James	85	12.75
2	Resident cum Tunnel Excavation Expert	10	Lalit Kumar Verma	06	6	Darko Muza	29	6.7
8	Tunnel Design Engineer	10	Jaime Otazua	95	9.5	Domenico Parisi	69.5	6.95
4	Tunnel Safety Expert	∞	Shamsha Ali	91	7.28	Sergio Garcia	75.5	6.04
S	Senior Geotechnical Expert	10	Konst A Stergiopoulos	06	6	Muniz Karl Kingsley	75	7.5
9	Senior Geologist	7	Sarvesh Misra	95	6.65	Pradeep Goswami	65	4.55
7	Tunnel ventilation Cum E&M expert	7	Rajnish Kumar Sharma	95	6.65	Rafat Ahmad Khan	40	2.8
∞	Contract Specialist	_ m	Neeraj Sharma	06	2.7	R R Chaudhary	87	2.61
0	Instrumentation & Control (SCADA) Expert	Ŋ	Anurag Swain	95	4.75	Ajay Kumar	35	1.75
		75			69.78			51.65

Applicant No.	Max	1/4	2/4
Name of the Applicant	Marks	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.
Name of Key Personnel  Date of Birth of KP's		Nikolaos Vittis 11.10.1958	Paul James 17.07.1957
Description Seneral Qualification		Assessed	Assessed
Graduate in Civil/Mining Engineering or equivalent	25	25	25 20
ost-Graduate or chartered engineer in civil/Mining engineering or equivalent	. 5	5	5
Clarification			Mr. Paul James has not enclosed our Graduate in Civil/Mining Engineering or equivalent degree. Bidder to Clarify
dequacy for the Project	75		
otal Professional Experience 25 years • 0 marks    .	7	7	7
5 years - 5 marks		5	
dd 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience ubject to maximum 2 (two) marks.		2	5
soper to maximum z (two) marks.			2
Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 1 to 15 35 years and 9 months	Project s.no. 1 to 15 36 years and 9 months
Project Details not Considered	1 499	N/A	N/A
tal professional experience in handling major			
nnelling projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel)	8	8	8
5 years – 0 marks years – 6 marks			
dd 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience		6	6
bject to maximum 2 (two) marks.		2	2
Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 4 and 7 to 15 23 years and 1 months	Project s.no. 1 to 15 37 years
Project Details not Considered		N/A	N/A
perience in major tunnel construction/construction pervision projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) in Deve	loped 10		
pervision projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) in Deve untries and Middle East Countries. 0 years – 0 marks	open 10	10	10.
) years - 7 marks		7	7
dd 1.5 marks extra for each additional year of perience subject to maximum 3 marks.		3	3
Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 4 and 7 to 15 23 years and 1 months	Project s.no. 1 to 6 24 years and 8 months
Project Details not Considered		N/A	N/A
perience as Team Leader or similar capacity in nstruction supervision of major tunnel projects			
ad/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of length equal to or more th 4 Km Jears - O marks	nan 10	10	10
ears - 7 marks		7	7
d 1.5 marks extra for each additional year of serience subject to maximum 3 marks.		3	3
		Project s.no. 4,7,10,12,13,14 & 15	Project s.no. 1,2
Project Details Considered		15 years and 9 months	11 years and 5 months
Project Details not Considered		N/A	N/A
nerience as Team Leader or similar capacity of ject Preparation/ Design of tunnel projects ad/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of length 1.94 Km or more	10	10	0
roject - 8 marks		8	0
d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject to ximum 2 marks.		2	0
Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 8,9,11	Nil
Project Details not Considered		N/A	Key personnel claimed 13 project out of which there is no DPR Project.
perience as Team Leader or similar capacity in istruction supervision of tunnels (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Ti length 1.94km or more projects - 0 marks	unnel) 20	20	20
projects - 0 marks		15	15
d 1.25 mark extra for each additional projects subject maximum 5(five) marks		5	5
Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 4,7,10,12,13	Project s.no. 1,2,3,4,6,8
Project Details not Considered erience in construction supervision of major tunnel		N/A	N/A
jects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) using NATM	5	5	5
oject - 4 marks more projects - 5 marks		4	4
		1	1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 4,6	Project s.no. 6,8
Project Details not Considered		N/A	N/A
ployment with the Firm.	5	0	0
year -0 year to 2 years - 2 marks		0	0
	+-1.		
rears to 3 years - 3 marks	- I		
years to 3 years - 3 marks years - 5 marks			
	100	95 14.25	85

	Resident cum Tunnel Excavation Expert			
5.	Applicant No.	Max	1/4	2/4
No.	Name of the Applicant	Marks	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LM Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.
	Name of Key Personnel Date of Birth of KP's		Lalit Kumar Verma	Darko Muza
	Description		26.08.1967 Assessed	11.12.1971 Assessed
1	General Qualification  1) Graduate in Civil/Mining Engineering	25	20	20
-	II) Post graduate or Chartered in Civil/Mining Engineering	5	20	20
	Adequacy for the Project	100	0	0
2	Total Experience in supervision of major Tunnel Projects	75	15	14
	(Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) < 15 years - 0	15	15	14
a i)	15 years - 12 marks	1	12	12
	Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 3 (three) marks			
	subject to maximum 3 (three) marks		3	2
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 1,2,4,6,7,9,10,11 19 years and 11 months	Project s.no. 1 to 11 17 years 9 months
	Brailest Datalla and Considered	-		
	Project Details not Considered  Experience in construction supervision of major		N/A	All Projects are Considered.
	tunnelling projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) in developed countries and Middle East Countries	10	10	10
ii)	< 7 years · 0 7 years · 7 marks		7	7
	Add 1.5 marks extra for each additional year of		3	3
	experience subject to maximum 3 (three) marks.	-		
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 6,7,9,10,11 12 years and 9 months	Project s.no. 5 to 9 10 years and 10 months
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	N/A
	Experience as Tunnel Excavation Expert or similar capacity in construction supervision of major	10	10	0
	tunnelling projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) < 10 years - 0			
i)	10 years - 7 marks		7	0
	Add 1.5 marks extra for each additional year of		3	
_	experience subject to maximum 3 (three) marks.	-		
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 4,6,7,9,10,11 14 years and 4 months	Project s.no. 6,7,8,9,11 9 years 5 month
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	Project s.no. 1,2,3,4,5,10 is not considered because of similar capacity differ.
-	Experience as Tunnel Excavation Expert or similar	20		or similar capacity differ.
	capacity in construction supervision of tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of minimum length of 1.94 km (minimum one year supervision in a nroiect).  < 2 projects - 0		20	20
	2 projects - 15 marks		15	15
	Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional projects	15.7	5	5
_	subject to 5 (five) marks.  Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 4,6,7,9	Project s.no. 7,8,9,11
0				
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	N/A
	Experience as Tunnel Excavation Expert or similar capacity in tunnel design/ DPR/ preparation / feasibility study/design review involving major tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) (minimum 1 year experience in a project)	10	10	0
- 1	1 project - 7 marks	1.51	7	0
	Add 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks.		3	0
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 3,5,8,12	
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	only 1 project in DPR s.no. 10 is not considered because of Tunnel design expert is not comes und similar capacity.
	Experience in construction of major tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) using NATM	5	5	3
c	1 project - 3 marks		3	3
	Add 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject to maximum 2 (two) marks.		2	0
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 4,6,7,9,10,11	Project s.no. 11 only
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	Project s.no. 1,2,3,4,5,10 is not considered becaus of similar capacity differ and Project no. 6 to 9, NATM are not using.
- 1	Employment with the Firm	5	0	0
	< 1 year -0 > 1 year to 2 years - 2 marks		0	0
- 1	> 2 years to 3 years - 3 marks			
- 1	> 3 years - 5 marks			
	Total	100	90	67
- 1	Weightage @ 75	10	9	6.7



5.	Tunnel Design Engineer Applicant No.	Max	1/4	2/4
No.	Name of the Applicant	Marks	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroustudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s L Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.
E	Name of Key Personnel Date of Birth of KP's		Jaime Otarua 11.09.1966	Domenico Parisi 04.01.1963
1 G	Description eneral Qualification	35	Assessed	Assessed
	Graduate in Civil/Mining Engineering	25	25	20
11	Post-Graduate in Engineering (Structural)/Mining	5	5	0
	ngineering dequacy for the Project	75		
	otal Experience Professional Experience	15	15	15
	20 years -0	10		1
a i) 20	0 years -11		11	11
	dd 1 mark extra for each additional year of sperience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks		4	4
10.			Project s.no. 1 to 19	Project s.no. 1 to 19
	Project Details Considered		25 years and 5 months	15 years and 8 months
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	N/A
pr	operience of design/ project preparation/ reliminary study involving major Tunnel	10	10	•
(R	oad/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) projects in developed ountries and Middle East Countries	10	10	0
	10 years - 0	1		0
13	) years- 7 marks		7	
	dd 1 mark extra for each additional year of perience subject to maximum 3 (three)		3	
	arks			
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9,10,11,13,15 18 years	Project s.no. 1,2,3,6,7 8 years 10 months
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	Project s.no. 4,5,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18 are not considered because of different simil
Ex	perience in tunnel design (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro	-		capacity and supervision works.
Tu	nnel) orks in similar capacity	10	10	10
	2 years - 0			0
i) 12	years - 7 marks		7	7
	d 1 mark extra for each additional year of			
	perience subject to maximum 3 (three) arks.		3	3
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 1 to 19 25 years and 5 months	Project s.no. 2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,16,18 8 years 10 months
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	N/A
	perience in similar capacity in Project			
(Re	eparation/DPR involving design of tunnels pad/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of more than 1.94 km ugth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) projects - 0	15	15	11
-	projects - 11 marks		11	11
Ad	d 1 mark extra for each additional projects		4	0.
sub	ect to maximum 4 (four) marks.			
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 2,3,4,6,7,8,9	Project s.no. 2,3,13 only
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	Project s.no. 1,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,14,15,16 17,18,19 are not considered because of differ similar capacity and supervision works and al less than 1.94 km tunnel.
pro tun	perience in similar capacity in struction/construction supervision jects involving design/design review of nels of more than 1.94 Km length (Min. 1	10	10	8.5
i) vea	r experience in project) projects - 0			0
2 p	rojects - 7 marks		7	7
	d 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects eject to maximum 3(three) marks.	7	3	1.5
-	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 5,12,14,17	Project no. 6,7,18 only
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	Project s.no. 1,2,3,4,5,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,19 are n considered because of different similar capac and also less than 1.94 km tunnel and also le
	erience in innovation tunnel design	-	0	than 1 year experience in project
and	ad/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) like immersed tunnel sub-sea bored tunnel rojects - 4 marks	5	0	0
	more projects -5 marks		0	0
-	Project Details Considered		No project found	No project found
	Project Details not Considered		No project found	No project found
	erience in design tunnel jects(Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) using NATM		5	0
1 pr	oject - 4 marks		4	0
2 or	more projects - 5 marks			
-			1	.0
	Project Details Considered	-	Project s.no. 2,3	No project found
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	Project s.no. 1 to 19 are not considered becau of different similar capacity and not using NAT
	oloyment with the Firm	5	5	5
1	year -0			
-	year to 2 years - 2 marks			
1000	years to 3 years - 3 marks years - 5 marks	-1	-	
231		100	5 95	5 69.5
+		100		
	Weightage @ 75	10	9.5	6.95



S.		Max	1/4	2/4
No		Marks		<b>建一张的</b>
	Name of the Applicant		M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.
	Name of Key Personnel		Shamsha Ali	Sergio Garcia
	Date of Birth of KP's  Description	100	09.01.1971 Assessed	15.05.1962 Assessed
1	General Qualification	25	25	20
)		20	20	20
)	Any professional Certification from a recognized/statutory body on safety/health/shot firer	5	5	0
2	Adequacy for the Project	75		
	Total Professional Experience	15	11	15
	< 20 years -0		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
i)	20 years -11		11	11
	Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.		0	4
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 1 to 9 20 years	Project s.no. 1 to 9 31 years and 6 months
	Project Details not Considered		All the projects are considered	N/A
	Experience Tunnel (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) Safety Works <10 years - 0	15	15	13
)	10 years - 11 marks		11	44
	Add 1 mark extra for each additional year		11	11
	of experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks	1. E	4	2
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 1 to 9 20 years	Project s.no. 6 to 9 12 years and 5 months
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	Project s.no. 1 to 5 are not considered because of other work not tunnel.
	International exposure in tunnel safety works in developed countries and Middle East Countries	10	10	10
	<7 years - 0	4-1		
	7 years - 7 marks		7	7
	Add 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks.		3	3
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 3 to 9 17 years	Project s.no. 6 to 9 12 years and 5 months
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	N/A
	Experience in similar capacity of Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage of tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of minimum length of 1.94 Km	20	20	17.5
	< 2 projects - 0 2 projects - 15 marks		15	15
	Add 1.25 mark extra for each additional			
	projects subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.		5	2.5
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 1,2,6,7,8,9	Project s.no. 6,7,8,9
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	Project s.no. 1 to 5 are not considered because of other work not tunnel.
	Experience in similar capacity of Tunnel Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel)	10	10	0
)	1 project - 8 marks		8	0
- Const.	2 or more - 10 marks		2	
				0
7	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 3,4	No DPR Project found
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	No DPR Project found
ŧ	Employment with the Firm < 1 year -0	5	0	0
	> 1 year to 2 years - 2 marks		0	0
	> 2 years to 3 years - 3 marks			
į.	> 3 years - 5 marks			
Ī	Total	100	91	75.5
-	Weightage @ 75	8	7.28	6.04
			1	2 08A

Senior Geotechnical Expert S. Applicant No.	Max	1/4	2//
No.	Marks		2/4
Name of the Applicant		M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.
Name of Key Personnel		Konstantinos Stergiopoulos	Muniz Karl Kingsley
Date of Birth of KP's  Description	1,224	07.01.1961 Assessed	03.05.1957
1 General Qualification	25	20	Assessed 20
Graduate in Civil Engineering / Masters in Engineering Geology or equivalent from a recognized university	20	20	20
II)Post Graduate in Rock Mechanics /Foundation Engineering/ Tunnel Engineering	5	0	0
Adequacy for the Project	75		
Total Professional Experience	15	15	15
<20 years - 0 i) 20 years - 11 marks		4	
Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of		11	11
experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.		4	4
Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 1 to 16 32 years and 3 months	Project s.no. 1 to 19 37 years and 8 months
Project Details not Considered		N/A	N/A
Experience in Construction/ Construction Supervision of major tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) in developed and Middle East countries	15	15	15
ii) < 12 years - 0  12 years - 11 marks			
Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of		11	11
experience subject to maximum 4 (three) marks		4	4
Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 3,4,5,7,9,11,13,14,16 20 years and 8 months	Project s.no. 7 to 12 and 14 to 19 20 years and 5 months
Project Details not Considered		N/A	N/A
Experience as Geotechnical engineer or similar capacity in construction/ construction supervision at least 4 tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of length equal to or more than 1.94 km	of 25	25	25
4 projects - 17 marks			
Add 2 mark extra for each additional project subject		17	17
to maximum 8 (eight) marks.		8	8
Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 3,4,5,7,9,11,13,14,16	Project s.no. 6,7,9,12,14,17,18,19
Project Details not Considered		N/A	N/A
Experience as Geotechnical engineer or similar capacity in design/project preparation of tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of at lea 1.94 km length	st 15	15	0
< 2 projects - 0	15.2		0
2 projects - 11 marks  Add 2 marks extra for each additional projects		11	
subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.		4	
Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 2,6,8,10,12,15	No DPR Projects
Project Details not Considered		N/A	No DPR Projects
Employment with the Firm < 1 year -0	5	0	0
		0	0
> 1 year to 2 years - 2 marks > 2 years to 3 years - 3 marks			
> 3 years - 5 marks			
Total:	100	90	75
		90	75 7.5
Weightage @ 75	10	1	7.5

S.		Max	1/4	2/4	
No	Name of the Applicant	Marks	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LM Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.	
	Name of Key Personnel		Sarvesh Misra	Pradeep Goswami	
	Date of Birth of KP's		13.01.1955	05.10.1973	
	Description :	25	Assessed 25	Assessed 25	
1	I) Masters in Geology /Applied Geology from	100			
	recognized university	25	25	25	
2	Adequacy for the Project	75			
	Total Professional Experience	20	20	0	
	<20 years - 0			0	
a i)	20 years - 15 marks	1	15	0	
	Add 1 mark extra for each additional year	1-			
	of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.		5		
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 1 to 14 26 years and 6 months	Project s.no. 1 to 6	
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	19 years  All projects are considered	
_	Experience in major Tunnel		140	An Projects are considered	
ii)	cxperience in major runnel construction/construction supervision Projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) in Himalayan Region using NATM technology  < 5 years - 0	15	15	15	
(11)	5 years - 12 marks		12	4	
	Add 1.5 marks extra for each additional year	1 3	12	12	
	subject to maximum 3 (three) marks		3	3	
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 6,7,10,11,12,13,14 14 years and 6 months	Project s.no. 4,5,6 9 years and 8 months	
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	N/A	
	Experience in major Tunnel (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) Construction works in similar capacity	15	15	15	
i)	< 7 years - 0				
	7 years - 12 marks		12	12	
	Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 3 (three) marks		3	3	
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 1,4,6,7,10,11,12,13,14 20 years and 11 months	Project s.no. 2,3,5,6 14 years and 11 months	
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	N/A	
	Experience in similar capacity in project preparation/DPR involving of major tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel). (Min 1 year experience in a project)	10	10	0	
	<2 projects - 0	- 1		0	
	2 projects -7 marks	100	7		
	Add 1.5 marks extra for each additional projects subject to maximum 3 (three)		3		
.1	marks.  Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 2,3,5,8	No DPR Projects found	
	Project Details not Considered				
T	Experience in similar capacity in	1.	N/A	No DPR Projects found	
i),	highway/Read/Rail/Metro/Hydro tunnel construction/construction supervision with minimum length of 1.94 Km < 2 projects - 0	10	10	10	
1	2 project - 7 marks		7	7	
1	Add 1.5 marks extra for each additional projects subject to maximum 3 (three)		3	3	
1	narks.  Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 1,4,6,7	Project s.no. 2,3,5,6	
100	Project Details not Considered		N/A	N/A	
			77.5	1970	
1	mployment with the Firm	5	0	0	
	1 year -0		0	0	
L	1 year to 2 years - 2 marks				
F	2 years to 3 years - 3 marks	1 1			
>	3 years - 5 marks				
-	Total :	100	or	<u> </u>	
ŀ		100	95	4.55	
6	Weightage @ 75	7	6.65	4.55	

NEW DELHI

		7.7				
S. No.	Applicant No.	Marks	1/4	2/4		
NO.	Name of the Applicant		M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.			
	Name of Key Personnel Date of Birth of KP's		Rajnish Kumar Sharma 16.03.1956	Rafet Ahmad Khan 22.11.1971		
	Description General Qualification,		Assessed	Assessed		
-	I] i) Graduate in Electrical/Mechanical	25	25	20		
	Engineering	20	20	20		
	II) Post-Graduate in Electrical/Mechanical Engineering	5	5	0		
	Total Professional Experience	20	20	20		
- 1	< 15 years - 0					
	15 years - 15 marks		15	15		
	Add 1.25 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.		5	5		
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 1 to 16 41 years and 2 months	Project s.no. 1 to 12 20 years and 6 months		
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	N/A		
1	Experience as Tunnel Ventilation Expert or similar capacity in Construction Supervision/IC of major tunnel works (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel)	20	20	0		
1	< 7 years - 0	-		0		
0	7 years - 10 marks	-	10	U		
	Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 10 (ten)		10			
marks.  Project Details Considered			Project s.no. 3,4 and 10 to 16 21 years and 9 months	Project s.no. 10,11 5 years 3 months only		
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	Projects no. 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,9 are not considere because of different similar capacity and project 8 is DPR and Project no. 12 is other work.		
T	xperience as Tunnel Ventilation Expert or similar capacity in onstruction supervision of tunnel (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro unnel) projects of minimum length of 1.94 km (Min 1 year xperience in project)	15	15	.0		
	3 projects - 0	- 3		0		
3	projects - 10		10			
P	kdd 2.5 marks extra for each additional projects subject to maximum 5 (five) marks		5			
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 3,4,10,11,12,13	Project s.no. 10,11		
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	Projects no. 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,9 are not considered because of different similar capacity and project 8 and 12 are other work.		
d	xperience as Tunnel Ventilation Expert or similar capacity in esign/OPR of tunnel (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) rojects of minimum length of 1.94 km (Min 1 year xperience in project)	15	15	0.		
) <	3 projects - 0			0		
- 3	projects - 10		10			
- A	dd 2.5 marks extra for each additional projects subject to aximum 5 (five) marks		5			
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 5 to 9	No DPR Project found		
Project Details not Considered			N/A	No DPR Project found		
Er	nployment with the Firm	5	0			
1	1 year -0	3	0	0		
>	1 year to 7 years - 2 marks	-	U	0		
	2 years to 3 years - 3 marks	-				
	3 years - 5 marks	- 1				
+	<del></del>	100	95			
	Total .100   Weightage @ 75   7		6.65	40 2.8		



		1000				
S.	Applicant No.	Max	1/4	2/4		
No.	Name of the Applicant	Marks	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LM Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.		
	Name of Key Personnel  Date of Birth of KP's		Neeraj Sharma 08.01.1963	R R Chaudhari 29.08.1957		
-	Description * General Qualification		- Assessed	Assessed		
1	I) Graduate in Civil Engineering	25	20	20		
	II) Post-Graduate in Law/ Dispute Resolution/Contract	20	20	20		
	Management	5	. 0	0		
2	Adequacy for the Project	75				
	Total Professional Experience in Contract Management <20 years - 0	20	20	17		
i)	20 years - 15 marks     Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks		15	15		
			5.	2		
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 3 to 16 29 years and 3 months	Project s.no. 1 to 24 22 years and 6 months		
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	All projects are considered		
	Experience as Contract Expert or similar capacity in major roads/ highway projects		15	15		
.,	< 10 years - 0					
	10 years - 10 marks		10	10		
	Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks		5	5		
	Project Details not Considered		29 years and 3 months N/A	22 years and 6 months N/A		
	xperience of contract management of major roads/ nighway projects over Rs 500 crore including xxperience of handling variation orders, claims of the ontractor	15	15	15		
- 1	4 projects - 0	2 .	The first the state of the stat			
16	projects - 12		12	12		
	add 1 mark extra for each additional project subject to naximum 3 (three) marks		3	3		
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 6,7,9,10,11,12,13	Project s.no. 8,9,11,17,20,21,22		
	Project Details not Considered	1.	N/A	N/A		
- 1	xperience of Handling Arbitration cases/Dispute esolution/Adjudication in respect of any oad/highway project	20	20	20		
	project - 10 marks		10	10		
t	dd 2.5 marks extra for each additional project subject o maximum 10 (ten) marks		10	10		
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 4 to 8	Project s.no. 1 to 6		
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	N/A		
	mployment with the Firm	5	0	0		
-	1 year -0	100	0	0		
	1 year to 2 years - 2 marks	ii 2				
	2 years to 3 years - 3 marks					
-						
-	3 years - 5 marks	1.				
1.0	3 years - 5 marks Total Weightage @ 75	100	90	87 2.61		



	INSTRUMENTATION AND CONTROL (SCADA) EXPERT				
S.	Applicant No.				
No.	explicant rid.	Marks	1/4	2/4	
	Name of the Applicant  Name of Key Personnel  Date of Burth of KP's		M/s TPF Getinsa Eurobstudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt.Ltd.	
			Lalit Kumar Verma	Ajay Kumar	
	Description		26.08.1967 Assessed	01.61.1972 Assessed	
1	General Qualification	25	25	20	
	Graduate in IT/ Comp Sc./ Electrical/ Electronics/Civil/ Instrumentation/ Mechanical	20	20	20	
	II) Post graduate Engineering	5	5	0	
2	Adequacy for the Project	- 75			
	Total Professional Experience	15	15	15	
	< 15 years - 0		version v	The state of the s	
a i)	15 years - 12 marks		12	12	
	Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 3 (three) marks		3	3	
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 1 to 9 25 years and 2 months	Project s.no. 1 to 5 22 years and 9 months	
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	N/A	
	Experience in system requirement, installation and commissioning of all the subsystems and equipment required for remote operation and control devices similar to SCADA in any infrastructure project.		25	0	
	< 10 years - 0	-		0	
- 1	10 years - 15 marks		15		
	Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 10 marks		10		
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 2 to 9 22 years and 6 months	Project s.no. 5 1 years and 4 months	
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	Project s.no. 1 to 4 are not considered because of worl regarding "installation and commissioning of all the subsystems and equipment required for remote operation and control devices similar to SCADA in any infrastructure project" are not found.	
	Experience in at least 7 years experience in hardware configuration, system tuning, application development, documentation including operation and maintenance	15	15	0	
ii)	< 7 years - 0			0	
- 31	years - 10		10		
	Add 1.25 marks extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum of 5 marks	1	5		
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 2 to 9 22 years and 6 months	No Project found	
	Project Details not Considered		NA	Project s.no. 1 to 5 are not considered because of work regarding * hardware configuration, system tuning, application development, documentation including operation and maintenance" are not foun	
5	xperience in handling at least 2 surveillance and ecurity systems projects	15	15	0	
	project - 10 marks		10	0	
п	dd 2.5 marks extra for each additional project subject to naximum 5 (Five) marks.		5	0	
	Project Details Considered		Project s.no. 1 to 4	No Project found	
	Project Details not Considered		N/A	Project s.no. 1 to 5 are not considered because of work regarding "surveillance and security systems projects" are not found	
	nployment with the Firm	- 5	0	0	
1	< 1 year -0		0	0	
	1 year to 2 years - 2 marks				
1	2 years to 3 years - 3 marks				
	3 years - 5 marks				
, ,				35	
>	Total Weightage @ 75	100	95 4.75	35 1.75	



Name of t	he Pro	iect
-----------	--------	------

Note

Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi-Directional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand.

Final Comparative Technical Evaluation Score.

S. No.	Name of Bidder	Relevant Experience for the assignment  Assessed 25	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment Assessed	Total Assessed 100	Rank of the Firm	whether the Technical Responsive Applicant/Bidder Eligible for opening of Financial proposal
	Maximum marks					
1/4	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.	25.00	69.78	94.78	1	Eligible
2/4	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.	25.00	51.65	76.65	2	Non-Responsive**
3/4	M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag	Non- Responsive				
4/4	M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.	Non- Responsive				

\*\*(More than 3 CV i.e. (Resident cum Tunnel Excavation Expert, Tunnel Design Engineer, Sr. Geologist, Tunnel ventilation Cum E&M expert, Instrumentation & Control (SCADA) Expert) scores less than 75% marks, therefore the proposal shall be considered Non – Responsive as per Clause 3.4 (iv) (f) of RFP. Hence bidder has considered non-responsive.)

